No. 1128—*T. A. D. B., dated 26th March* 1925.

To

All Treasury Officers
and Officer in charge, State Huzur Treasury.

Subject—Treasury payment orders on vouchers to be signed in full by the Treasury Officers.

Has the honor to state that agreeably to Article 19 of the Mysore Account Code, Volume II, Treasury payment orders on all vouchers should be signed in full by the Treasury Officers and it will not be sufficient if they bear only their initials. The attention of all Treasury Officers is therefore drawn to the above rule in the Code which may in future be strictly observed.

T. SATYARAJ CHETTY,
Comptroller.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY, MYSORE STATE LIFE INSURANCE COMMITTEE, BANGALORE.

Circular No. 48, *dated* 4—*5th December* 1924.

The undersigned regrets to observe that in a large number of cases the required evidences in support of age of proposers to insurance were not attached to the proposals before despatch notwithstanding the definite instructions issued in the matter in this Office Circular No. 47, dated 11th February 1924.

Heads of Offices are therefore requested to see that these documents invaribably accompany the proposals for insurance as without them they cannot be considered complete and this Office is forced to the necessity of having to stop the pay of the proposers in consequence not to mention the inconvenience caused by the accumulation of incomplete proposals and unnecessary increase of work and correspondence entailed thereby.

Heads of Offices are also requested to issue necessary instructions in the matter to all the subordinate Offices under them.

T. SATYARAJ CHETTY,
Secretary.

MISCELLANEOUS DEPARTMENTS.

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX IN MYSORE.

Notice No. D. 2. Dis. 250—24-25, *dated* 14—16*th March* 1925.

The following amendment is made in para 2 (*b*) of this office notice No. D. 2. Dis. 284 of 1923-24, dated Camp Mysore, 24th April 1924 as amended by No. D. 2. Dis. 21 of 1924-25, dated the 21st July 1924.

"For para 2 (*b*) substitutes the following " accompanied by a copy of the assessment order and the appellate order (or the original endorsement in appeal) sought to be revised or reviewed; and "

(2) The notice as thus amended will read as follows:—

Petitioners are hereby informed that petitions of appeal under Sections 30 and 32 of the Mysore Income Tax Regulation V of 1923 should be—

(*a*) drawn up in the prescribed form and verified in the prescribed manner as laid down in Rules 21 and 22 of the Mysore Income Tax Rules, 1923,

(*b*) sufficiently stamped *i.e.*, bear twelve annas Court Fee stamp if the petition is to a Deputy Commissioner of Income-tax and two rupees Court Fee stamp if it is to the Commissioner of Income-tax in Mysore,

(*c*) accompanied by the notice of demand issued under Section 29 of the Regulation, and

(*d*) explanatory of the delay in appealing, in cases where the interval between the date of service of the notice of demand and the date of filing the appeal petition exceeds thirty days.

2. Petitions filed under Section 33 of the Regulation should be—

(*a*) sufficiently stamped (*i.e.*,) bear one rupee and eight annas Court Fee stamps),

(*b*) accompained by a copy of the assessment order and the appellate order (or the original endorsement in appeal) sought to be revised or reviewed and

(*c*) explanatory of the delay in applying for revision or reivew in cases where the interval between the dates of the order and of filing the petition exceeds three months.

3. Petitions which do not comply with the above rules are liable to be returned.

4. Petitioners will be heard by the Commissioner, when he is at headquarters and not otherwise engaged, at 2 P.M., every day, close holidays excepted.

V. R. THYAGARAJA IYER,
Commissioner of Income Tax.